Industrial Shared Wireless Communication System – Use Case of Autonomous Guided Vehicles

IRENEUSZ SMOŁKA

Agenda

- 1. Infrastructure
- 2. Profinet IO
- **3.** OPC UA
- 4. Experimental research
- 5. Connection quality
- 6. Future works



Goal

Checking the influence of additional network traffic to the parameteres of the RealTime industrial network.

Profinet + OPC UA

Goals



Latency

https://networkencyclopedia.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/jitter-1024x576.jpg

Goals



Infrastructure



Profinet



Profinet

- NRT No Real Time TCP/UDP channel, configuration, parameteres
- *RT* **Real Time** Real Time channel,
- *IRT* Isochronus Real Time IRT channel, isochronus communication with Real Time



Devices work in Full Duplex mode

Profinet IRT



Profinet IRT



Why the update time of a Profinet IO-Device should be adjusted to the PLC cycle time ?

OPC UA



OPC UA – publisher/subscriber

 \leftarrow Server

Publisher $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ Subscriber

OPC UA – publisher/subscriber

- No requirement for continuous connection to the server
- Reduction of the required hardware resources
- Easy access to data when data is collecting from several systems

OPC UA Time Sensitive Network





Testbed configuration		Update time				
		2ms	4ms	64ms	128ms	
Wired Jitter		10.7%	4.78%	0.1%	~0.1%	
connection	Jitter [ms]	0.2	0.19	0.06	~0.06	
Wireless 2.4GHz	Jitter				14.1%	
	Jitter [ms]				18.0	
Wireless 5GHz	Jitter			18.8%	7.6%	
	Jitter [ms]			12.0	9.72	

Magsurament setun	Wired		2.4Ghz		5Ghz	
Wieasurement setup	Jitter %	Jitter [ms]	Jitter %	Jitter [ms]	Jitter %	Jitter [ms]
No OPC UA server	2.4%	3.0	14.1%	18.0	18.8%	12.0
OPC UA server active – only background communication with AGV	3.2%	4.1	20.4%	26.1	17.6%	11.3
1 client on 1 PC computer	3.7%	4.7	39.2%	50.2	19.4%	12.4
2 clients on 2 PC computers	4.4%	5.6	32.5%	41.6	17.1%	10.9
4 clients on 4 PC computers	5.5%	7.0	35.7%	45.7	17.3%	11.1
6 clients on 6 PC computers	6.8%	8.7	37.0%	47.4	19.8%	12.7
8 clients on 6 PC computers	7.3%	9.3	38.9%	49.8	19.9%	12.7
10 clients on 6 PC computers	8.5%	10.9	40.2%	51.5	19.9%	12.7
12 clients on 6 PC computers	10.8%	13,8	41.8%	53.5	20.6%	13.2

Results for wireless connection with an update time of 128ms



Magsurament setun		Wired	2.4Ghz		
Witasurement setup	Jitter %	Jitter [ms]	Jitter %	Jitter [ms]	
No OPC UA server	2.4%	3.0	14.1%	18.0	
OPC UA server active – only background communication with AGV	3.2%	4.1	20.4%	26.1	
1 client on 1 PC computer	3.7%	4.7	39.2%	50.2	
2 clients on 2 PC computers	4.4%	5.6	32.5%	41.6	
4 clients on 4 PC computers	5.5%	7.0	35.7%	45.7	
6 clients on 6 PC computers	6.8%	8.7	37.0%	47.4	
8 clients on 6 PC computers	7.3%	9.3	38.9%	49.8	
10 clients on 6 PC computers	8.5%	10.9	40.2%	51.5	
12 clients on 6 PC computers	10.8%	13,8	41.8%	53.5	

Measurement setup	Jitter %	Jitter [ms]
No OPC UA server	12,6%	16.1
UPC UA server active, no clients	24.5%	31.4
1 client on 1 PC computer	23.4%	29.9
2 clients on 2 PC computers	37.6%	48.1
3 clients on 2 PC computers	65.9%	84.4
4 clients on 2 PC computers	110.5%	141.4

Results for wireless connection with an update time of 128ms without Scalance switch

Connection quality

IEEE Standard	Maximum Linkrate
WiFi 6	600 to 9608 Mbit/s
WiFi 5	433 to 6933 Mbit/s
WiFi 4	72 to 600 Mbit/s
802.11g	3 to 54 Mbit/s
802.11b	1.5 to 54 Mbit/s
802.11a	1 to 11 Mbit/s

Connection quality

Material	Thickness [cm]	Attenuation [dB]	
Driek	30	9	
BLICK	10	7	
Concrete	30	11	
Wood	4	2,5	
Glass	2	4,5	



Future works

Change network protocol – EtherCAT



Future works

Simulation in GNS3 environment



Thank you for your attention